## **Pupil Premium Impact Report: SS Peter and Paul Catholic Primary 2018/2019**

1. Summary information						
School	SS Peter and Paul Catholic Primary School					
Academic Year	2019/20 Total PP budget £54,380 Date of most recent PP Review September 2					
Total number of pupils	192	Number of pupils eligible for PP	28 17 deprivation 4 LAC 10 Service children	Date for next internal review of this strategy	July 2020	

2. Current attainment (Based on 2018 data)			
	Pupils eligible for PP (your school)	Pupils not eligible for PP (national average)	
% achieving ARE or above in reading, writing & maths	50% (3/6)	64%	
% achieving ARE in reading	83% (5/6)	73%	
% achieving ARE in writing	<mark>83% (5/6)</mark>	78%	
% achieving ARE in mathematics	67% (4/6)	79%	
% achieving ARE in SPAG	83% (5/6)	78%	
% Key stage 1 -2 progress in reading	<mark>+5.5</mark>	0	
% Key Stage 1-2 progress in writing	-0.6	0	
% Key Stage 1-2 progress in maths	+0.2	0	

	*Numbers of pupil premium pupils too small to be published				
3. Ba	arriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)				
In-sch	ool barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral languag	ge skills)			
A.	Number of SEND children within group of PPG children				
B.	Small numbers of pupil premium children – risks of being overlooked				
C.	Writing attainment throughout the school is an issue for PPG children				
Ex	tternal barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as	low attendance rates)			
E.	Social and emotional difficulties in some cases				
F.	<ul> <li>CLA and Ex-CLA children difficulties may include:</li> <li>forming trusting relationships with adults</li> <li>social skills and relationships with peers</li> <li>coping with transitions and change</li> <li>planning, organising and remembering</li> <li>inhibiting their impulses, focusing their attention and initiating tas</li> <li>speech and language</li> <li>managing their strong feelings, such as shame, sadness, anxiet</li> </ul>				
4. [	Desired outcomes (Desired outcomes and how they will be measured)	Success criteria			
A.	Higher prior attaining pupil premium children achieve highly against their age-related expectations.	HA PPG children (as identified in Sept by class teacher using data provided) will make more than expected progress and they will be working at greater depth in each year group by end of year.			
В.	Increase the attainment in writing for PP pupils across the school	Writing attainment and progress for pupil premium children will be in line with all pupils nationally.			

C.	Vulnerable children are identified early, so differences do not widen as they move through the school.	PPG children in EYFS achieve and progress in line with all pupils.
D.	Vulnerable PPG children (including CLA and ex-CLA children) and families access required outside interventions for SEND or social/emotional/behavioural needs.	PPG children with SEND and/or social/emotional/behavioural needs progress in line with peers or better.

5. Review of Planned Expenditure				
Academ	nic year	2018/2019		
The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the Pupil Premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targ support and support whole school strategies  i. Quality of teaching for all		agogy, provide targeted		
Desired outcome	Chosen approac		<b>Estimated impact:</b> Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)

PPG children
achieve their agerelated
expectations, and
progress in line
with all pupils
nationally,
especially in
writing.

10x pupils throughout the school

- Strong Leadership and optimised deployment of staff.
- Quality First Teaching whereby TAs do not replace the teacher, but enhance learning, and ensuring that teachers do not reduc their support or input to the pupils supporte by TAs.
- Staff training to ensur
   Quality First Teaching
- PPG forming part of teacher appraisal where appropriate

Quality first teaching has been highly effective, with all teaching at least good throughout the school.

All staff are aware of the PPG children and any barriers to learning targeted for support. They perform better than non-pupil premium children.

School internal data Summer 2019:

Highly effective strategy. Continue to use.

Higher

65 (38.7%)

1 (4.8%)

64 (43.5%)

Reading (172 pupils)	No. (%)	
All Pupils	172 (100%)	
Pupil Premium	21 (12.2%)	
Not Pupil Premium	151 (87.8%)	

Missing Assessment	Below	On Track or higher	
4 (2.3%)	11 (6.5%)	157 (93.5%)	
0 (0%)	1 (4.8%)	20 (95.2%)	
4 (2.6%)	10 (6.8%)	137 (93.2%)	

Writing (172 pupils)	No. (%)	Missing Assessment	Below	On Track or higher	Higher
All Pupils	172 (100%)	4 (2.3%)	16 (9.5%)	152 (90.5%)	37 (22.0%)
Pupil Premium	21 (12.2%)	0 (0%)	1 (4.8%)	20 (95.2%)	1 (4.8%)
Not Pupil Premium	151 (87.8%)	4 (2.6%)	15 (10.2%)	132 (89.8%)	36 (24.5%)

Mathematics (172 pupils)	No. (%)
All Pupils	172 (100%)
Pupil Premium	21 (12.2%)
Not Pupil Premium	151 (87.8%)

	Missing Assessment	Below	On Track or higher	Higher
	4 (2.3%)	15 (8.9%)	153 (91.1%)	50 (29.8%)
	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	21 (100%)	2 (9.5%)
)	4 (2.6%)	15 (10.2%)	132 (89.8%)	48 (32.7%)

Combined (172 pupils)	No. (%)
All Pupils	172 (100%)
Pupil Premium	21 (12.2%)
Not Pupil Premium	151 (87.8%)

Mississ Assessed	Below in one	On
Missing Assessment	or more	
4 (2.3%)	20 (11.9%)	
0 (0%)	2 (9.5%)	
4 (2.6%)	18 (12.2%)	

On Track or higher	Higher
in all	in all
148 (88.1%)	31 (18.5%)
19 (90.5%)	0 (0%)
129 (87.8%)	31 (21.1%)

Ensure that all day	to-
day teaching meet	
the needs of each	
learner, rather tha	n
relying on	
interventions to	
compensate for	
teaching that is les	s
than good	
Quality in school	
resources to engage	
pupils	

Youngest PPG pupils are identified early and have targeted support so that they are working in line with their peers and meet age-related expectations.	<ul> <li>High priority placed on early intervention with EYFS PPG pupils through increased adult direction.</li> <li>Phonics enrichment – resources bought and staff trained in RWI for new curriculum</li> <li>Reading enrichment – a sum of money put in to enhance our reading provision, especially for our youngest pupils</li> </ul>		Highly effe strategy. C use.	
		Total budg	eted cost	£26300
ii. Targeted sup	port			
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	

Pupils and families of	Outside agencies	On average, SEL interventions have an identifiable and significant impact on attitudes to	Highly effective
disadvantaged pupils,	involved in pupils'	learning, social relationships in school, and attainment itself (four months' additional progress on	strategy. Continue to
including CLA and ex—	learning and progress	average).	use.
CLA pupils are	and Family Support.		
supported with any	,	As a school we have found that addressing these needs have resulted in calmer, well-integrated	
learning/social/	Purchase and	pupils who are more able to access the curriculum.	
behavioural needs.	implementations of		
	Calm Brain to improve	Specific monitoring of these pupils by the SENDCo. Case studies maintained. Clear progress	
	children's ability to	tracked from their starting points.	
	focus and regulate		
	their emotional state	TAC/CAFs – full and detailed, looking at impact.	
	in order to learn		
	effectively: specific		
	children targeted		
	(including those on		
	modified timetables)		
	plus whole class.		
	TA to provide small		
	group work for speech		
	and language,		

Ensure high achieving FSM/PP pupils continue to make better than expected progress in all subject areas	Targeted booster groups for higher achievers – class teacher led.	KS2: 0 PP pupils achieved greater depth standard in reading and writing at the end of KS1, however the pupils making ARE continue to make ARE in KS2.  1 child was working at GDS at the end of KS1, and two children were working at GDS at the end of their current year in KS2. All pupils previously working at ARE in KS1 have continued to meet ARE.	Highly effective strategy. Continue to use.
	Purchase of additional ability appropriate guided reading resources		

PPG children with SEND make accelerated progress from their starting points.	Individuals needing 1:1 in class support for speech and language needs	Specific monitoring of these pupils by the SENDCo. Case studies maintained. Clear progress tracked from their starting points.  Governors' hold school accountable for PP progress and achievement.	Highly effe strategy. C use.	ctive Continue to
	Targeted intervention groups (with the correct staff CPD and training):  • Lego Build to Express Emotional Literacy Dyspraxia/fine motor skills  • Cool Kids  • Speech and Language	100% of SEND PP pupils are working within ARE or above for Maths and Writing.  2/3 PP pupils with SEND working within ARE for Reading.		
	• Education			
	<ul><li>psychologist</li><li>Beanstalk 1:1</li></ul>			
		Total budge	eted cost	£11500

iii.Other approaches				
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP if appropriate	

To ensure that all children have equal access to school visits and access to all additional experiences to enhance the curriculum	To subsidise school visits/residential trips  To provide additional enrichment visits/tuition, such as Music 2 the 4.	Trips and experiences subsidised for PP pupils. 100% engagement with trips. Clear enjoyment, boost in enthusiasm, self-confidence, self-efficacy and motivation seen.	Highly effectstrategy. Couse.	
Increased attendance rates of those PPG children	EWO funding to target the pupils where attendance is an issue and support these families in raising their attendance.	Some of our PP eligible children find attending school or being on time for school hard. Attendance at school is crucial for these children to get the support that they need through quality first teaching and targeted interventions. Subsidised breakfast school places available for families who are struggling with attendance.  Attendance: All pupils 96.51%; PP deprivation 96.53%  (Looked after children attendance 98.4%)	Highly effective strategy. Continue to use.	
		Total budge	eted cost	£3500