## Pupil premium strategy statement: SS Peter and Paul Catholic Primary 2020/2021

1. Summary information					
School	SS Peter	and Paul Catholic Primary Scho	ool		
Academic Year	2020/21	Total PP budget	£40,840.94	Date of most recent PP Review	September 2020
Total number of pupils	192	Number of pupils eligible for PP	40 21 deprivation 4 LAC 14 Service children	Date for next internal review of this strategy	July 2021

2. Current attainment (Based on 2020 Spring Term data)		
	Pupils eligible for PP (your school)	Pupils not eligible for PP (national average)
% achieving ARE or above in reading, writing & maths	60% (9/15)	64%
% achieving ARE in reading	86% (13/15)	75%
% achieving ARE in writing	60% (9/15)	78%
% achieving ARE in mathematics	66% (10/15)	75%
% achieving ARE in SPAG	60% (9/15)	77%
% Key stage 1 -2 progress in reading	N/A	0
% Key Stage 1-2 progress in writing	N/A	0
% Key Stage 1-2 progress in maths	N/A	0

	*Numbers of pupil premium pupils too small to be published	
3. Ba	arriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)	
In-sch	ool barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language	ge skills)
A.	Number of SEND children within group of PPG children	
B.	Small numbers of pupil premium children – risks of being overlooked	
C.	Writing attainment throughout the school is an issue for PPG children	
Ex	kternal barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as	low attendance rates)
E.	Social and emotional difficulties in some cases	
F.	<ul> <li>CLA and Ex-CLA children difficulties may include:</li> <li>forming trusting relationships with adults</li> <li>social skills and relationships with peers</li> <li>coping with transitions and change</li> <li>planning, organising and remembering</li> <li>inhibiting their impulses, focusing their attention and initiating tas</li> <li>speech and language</li> <li>managing their strong feelings, such as shame, sadness, anxiet</li> </ul>	
4. [	Desired outcomes (Desired outcomes and how they will be measured)	Success criteria
A.	Higher prior attaining pupil premium children achieve highly against their age-related expectations.	HA PPG children (as identified in Sept by class teacher using data provided) will make more than expected progress and they will be working at greater depth in each year group by end of year.
B.	Increase the attainment in writing for PP pupils across the school	Writing attainment and progress for pupil premium children will be in line with all pupils nationally.

C.	Vulnerable children are identified early, so differences do not widen as they move through the school.	PPG children in EYFS achieve and progress in line with all pupils.
D.	Vulnerable PPG children (including CLA and ex-CLA children) and families access required outside interventions for SEND or social/emotional/behavioural needs.	PPG children with SEND and/or social/emotional/behavioural needs progress in line with peers or better.
E.	Disadvantaged pupils have access to a wide range of experiences in order to develop cultural capital	Pupils are exposed to a range of social, cultural and sporting experiences that they would not normally be exposed to.  Development in vocabulary, experience, self-confidence, self-efficacy and motivation of disadvantaged pupils in line with peers.

5. Planned exp	enditure				
Academ	nic year 2020/21				
	ort whole school strateg	to demonstrate how they are using the Pupil Premium to es	improve classroom peda	gogy, provid	de targeted
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implement ation?

PPG children achieve their age- related	Strong Leadership and optimised deployment of staff.	Evidence/Research from: <a href="http://www.suttontrust.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/09/2teachers-impact-report-final.pdf">http://www.suttontrust.com/wp-content/uploads/2011/09/2teachers-impact-report-final.pdf</a>	The children who are PPG will be targeted in lesson observations,	Head Teacher	Weekly monitoring
expectations, and progress in line with all pupils nationally, especially in writing.	<ul> <li>Quality First Teaching – whereby TAs do not replace the teacher, but enhance learning, and ensuring that teachers do not reduce their support or input to the pupils supported by TAs.</li> <li>Staff training to ensure Quality First Teaching</li> <li>PPG forming part of teacher appraisal where appropriate</li> </ul>	This report states that: The effects of high-quality teaching are especially significant for pupils from disadvantaged backgrounds: over a school year, these pupils gain 1.5 years' worth of learning with very effective teachers, compared with 0.5 years with poorly performing teachers. In other words, for poor pupils the difference between a good teacher and a bad teacher is a whole year's learning.  Deploying staff effectively "More successful schools identify the strengths of each member of staff and find the best ways to use them. They are quick to spot potential and 'grow their own staff'." The best leaders ensure that additional adult support is of high quality. Every effort is made to ensure that pupils eligible for the pupil premium have access to the best teachers and are supported by skilled and well-trained additional adults. These schools ensure that the work of additional adults is closely monitored and thoroughly evaluated. DFE	book scrutinies, in- school moderation and learning walks. We will see what barriers these children may have along with discussions with class teachers and parents and make sure that these are diminishing by the next round of assessments. Pupil voice will be used to assess changes.	SENDCo  Deputy  Head  Inclusion  Governor	Termly data checks

Ensure that all day-to-day teaching meets     the needs of each     learner, rather than     relying on     interventions to     compensate for     teaching that is less     than good     Quality in school     resources to engage     pupils	http://www.literacytrust.org.uk/assets/0002/6752/EEF_Toolkit_pd f_version.pdf  The Sutton Trust Toolkit states that:  Overall, research shows that students in a class with a teaching assistant present do not on average outperform those in one where only a teacher is present. This average finding covers a range of recorded impacts; in some cases teachers and teaching assistants have worked together effectively leading to increases in attainment, while in others pupils (particularly those who are lower attaining) have performed worse in classes with teaching assistants present compared to those without.	Achievement data frequently analysed to check whether interventions or techniques are working and made adjustments accordingly.	
--	--	---	--

Youngest PPG pupils are identified early and have targeted support so that they are working in line with their peers and meet age-related expectations.	•	High priority placed on early intervention with EYFS PPG pupils through increased adult direction.  Phonics enrichment – resources bought, and staff trained in Letters and Sounds  Reading enrichment – a sum of money put in to enhance our reading provision, especially for our youngest pupils	'Are you ready? Good practice in school readiness' – OFSTED 2014 They state that:  We encountered outstanding practice with excellent examples of staff working effectively through leading sessions directly and through high-quality interactions with children during planned and child-initiated play and activities. The most successful practice in developing children's communication skills involved adult-led sessions in combination with adults communicating and modelling language, showing, explaining, demonstrating, questioning, encouraging, facilitating and stimulating children throughout the day.	The children who are PPG will be targeted in lesson observations, book scrutinies, inschool moderation and learning walks. We will see what barriers these children may have along with discussions with class teachers and parents and make sure that these are diminishing by the next round of assessments.	Head Teacher SENDCo Deputy Head EYFS Governor	Weekly monitoring Termly data checks
---	---	---	---	--	---	--------------------------------------

			Total budgeted c	ost £2, 819,9
Phonics training £250	x 2 sessions = £500			
Phonics resources spe	end £1000			
White Rose Maths Su	bscription = £99 (yearly)			
Espresso: £1220.94				
ii. Targeted supp	ort			
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	wad When will you revie implemention?

Pupils and families of disadvantaged pupils, including CLA and ex—CLA pupils are supported with any learning/social/behavioural needs.	Outside agencies involved in pupils' learning and progress and Family Support.  Purchase and implementations of Calm Brain to improve children's ability to focus and regulate their emotional state in order to learn effectively: specific children targeted (including those on modified timetables) plus whole class.	Research: https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/resources/teaching-learning-toolkit/social-and-emotional-learning/  On average, SEL interventions have an identifiable and significant impact on attitudes to learning, social relationships in school, and attainment itself (four months' additional progress on average).  As a school we have found that addressing these needs have resulted in calmer, well-integrated pupils who are more able to access the curriculum.	Specific monitoring of these pupils by the SENDCo. Case studies maintained. Clear progress tracked from their starting points.  TAC/CAFs – full and detailed, looking at impact.	SENDCo Head Teacher Inclusion Governor	Weekly monitoring Termly data checks TACs as and when necessary
	TA to provide small group work for speech and language,				

Ensure high achieving	Targeted booster	Research – small group tuition	The children who are	SENDCo	Half-termly
FSM/PP pupils continue	groups for higher	<ul> <li>Intensive tuition in small groups is very effective</li> </ul>	PPG will be targeted in		
to make better than	achievers – class	<ul> <li>Pupils are grouped according to specific level or need</li> </ul>	lesson observations,	Head	
expected progress in all	teacher led.	<ul> <li>Pupils' needs are accurately assessed and targeted.</li> </ul>	book scrutinies, in-	Teacher	
subject areas			school moderation and		
		Rationale evidence taken from:	learning walks. We will	Inclusion	
			see what barriers these	Governor	
	Purchase of additional	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/toolkit/toolkit-a-	children may have along		
	ability appropriate	z/one-to-one-tuition/ (Gains +5 months)	with discussions with		
	guided reading		class teachers and		
	resources	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/toolkit/toolkit-a-	parents and make sure		
		z/small-group-tuition/	that these are		
		-years group stratery	diminishing by the next		
		(Gains +4 months)	round of assessments.		
		https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/toolkit/toolkit-a-			
		z/collaborative-learning/ (Gain + 5 months)	Attainment to be		
		2,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,0	assessed on a half termly		
		https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attac	basis.		
		hment_data/file/413197/The_Pupil_Premium	Governors' Task and		
		How schools are spending the funding.pdf	Finish group to hold		
		Trow_concolo_aro_openang_aro_ranamg.par	school accountable for		
			PP progress and		
			achievement		
			acmevement		

PPG children with SEND	Individuals needing 1:1	Research – small group tuition	Specific monitoring of	SENDCo	December
make accelerated	in class support for	Intensive tuition in small groups is very effective	these pupils by the	Head	2018
progress from their	speech and language	Pupils are grouped according to specific level or need	SENDCo. Case studies maintained. Clear	Teacher	
starting points.	needs	Pupils' needs are accurately assessed and targeted.	progress tracked from	Inclusion	
	Targeted intervention	Rationale evidence taken from:	their starting points.	Governor	
	groups (with the	Rationale evidence taken from:	Governors' Task and	Governor	
	correct staff CPD and	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/toolkit/toolkit-a-	Finish group to hold		
	training):	z/one-to-one-tuition/ (Gains +5 months)	school accountable for		
	<ul> <li>Lego Build to</li> </ul>		PP progress and		
	Express Emotional	https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/toolkit/toolkit-a-	achievement		
	Literacy Dyspraxia/fine	z/small-group-tuition/ (Gains +4 months)			
	motor skills				
	Cool Kids     Speech and				
	<ul> <li>Speech and Language</li> </ul>				
	Education				
	psychologist				
	Beanstalk 1:1				
			Total bud	lgeted cost	£13, 580
Costs of Outside Agencies	/Family Support £1, 500				
Calm Brain subscription: f	500				
ELSA (Emotional Literacy	Support Assistant) TA hour	s: £8.50 x 10 hours x 38 weeks = £3, 230			
	£540.00 per annum (as al				
£1920.00 12 sessions – 2	teachers (£80 per session)				
£4, 845 – TA 15 hours per	, , ,				

## iii.Other approaches

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review impleme ntation?
To ensure that all children have equal access to school visits and access to all additional experiences to enhance the curriculum	To subsidise school visits/residential trips  To provide additional enrichment visits/tuition, such as Music 2 the 4.	Research – Outdoor adventure learning	Attendance of trips to be monitored  SLT review of curriculum and evaluate success for these pupils.	School Business Manager Head Teacher Deputy Head	After each trip
Total budgeted co					£6, 537
Manor Adventure Trip £1 Other trips/visits/in-scho £750 Music programme £ £900 music programme £ Cost of rewards: £50 for EWO support - £1500	for KS1 and EYFS for year 4/5. excellent attendance essons (peripatetic teacher	0 ionals: £tbc as academic year progresses.			

2 pupils £525